Task 1. General Information - Disclaimers and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 10/13/2022

Disclaimers

For guidance related to Educator Evaluation plans, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms related to Educator Evaluation, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Educator Evaluation plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in an LEA's plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented Educator Evaluation plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of an LEA's plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this Educator Evaluation plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the Educator Evaluation plan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this Educator Evaluation plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject or disapprove this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

Educator Evaluation Assurances

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 11/29/2022

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional

subcomponent is selected.

Each teacher shall have a locally determined Student Learning Objective (SLO) consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 11/29/2022

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used as the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Individually attributed results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> Collectively attributed results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program or

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 11/29/2022

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- · third party assessments; or
- · locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES-, or regionally-developed).

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective		Effective		Develo	ping	Ineffeo	Ineffective													
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100	93- 96%	90- 92%	85- 89%	80- 84%	75- 79%	67- 74%	60- 66%	55- 59%	49- 54%	44- 48%		34- 38%	29- 33%	25- 28%	21- 24%	17- 20%	13- 16%	9- 12%	5-8%	0-4%
%																				

SLO Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that each teacher has an SLO as determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

Z Assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner

consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.

Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.

Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.

Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.

Z Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth

parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved Educator Evaluation plan.

Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.

Measures and Assessments

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of the Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 02/27/2023

At what level are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) rated?

Subcomponent level (each observable subcomponent receives a rating)

How are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) weighted?

☑ Observable components are combined in some other manner (please provide more information below)e.g., domains 2 and 3 are weighted as 40% each, and Domains 1 and 4 are weighted as 10% each.

In the box below, please describe how the observable components of the rubric are combined. Each subcomponent is weighted equally and averaged to assign a score for each domain. Each domain is weighted as follows: Domain 1=15%, Domain 2=25%, Domain 3=45%, Domain 4=15%.

Scoring the Observation Category

If an evaluator conducts multiple observations of the same type, how are those observations weighted?

Examples of observations of the same type include but are not limited to:

- Two observations by the principal with one early in the school year weighted at 40% and one late in the school year weighted at 60%.
- Two observations by the principal, with one holistic score for each component of the rubric based on the preponderance of evidence over both observations.

Please note: Weighting across observation type (i.e. Principal vs. Independent Evaluator) are described in the following section.

Multiple observations of the same type are weighted equally

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.

Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in the next section, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 02/27/2023

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)
- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* The process selected for conducting observations, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan is approved by the Commissioner. However, if your LEA applies for and receives approval of an Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver for a school year, then the terms specified in that waiver application will apply for that school year only. Please note that independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver requests must be submitted and approved on an annual basis.

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Principal/Administrator	Independent Evaluator(s)	Peer Observer(s)	Group of teachers for which this weighting will
[Required]	[Required]	[Optional]	apply
			If only one group of teachers is applicable,
			please list "All teachers"
90%	10%	0% (N/A)	All teachers

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 02/27/2023

Teacher Observation

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 02/27/2023

trained administrator (supervisor).

- Required Subcomponent 2: <u>At least one</u> observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).
- Optional Subcomponent: If selected, <u>at least one</u> observation must be conducted by a trained peer observer (peer observer).

Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of observations and method of observation for each type listed.

	Minimum Number of Observations	Method of Observation Select all that apply				
Announced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	2	☑ In person				
Unannounced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	1	☑ In person				
Announced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcomponent 2)	1	☑ In person				
Unannounced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcomponent 2)	0	Not applicable				
Announced Peer Observation (Optional)	N/A	Not applicable				
Unannounced Peer Observation (Optional)	N/A	Not applicable				

Does the information in the table above apply to all teachers?

No, there are 3 groups of teachers who receive a different number and/or method of observation of each type (identify the first

subgroup below).

Please identify the first subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table above applies.

Probationary Teachers (Year 1)

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Subgroup 3

Page Last Modified: 02/27/2023

Number and Method of Observation: Subgroups 2 and 3

- At least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).
- Required Subcomponent 1: At least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or other trained administrator (supervisor).
- Required Subcomponent 2: <u>At least one</u> observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).

• Optional Subcomponent: If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by a trained peer observer (peer observer).

Subgroup 2

Please identify the second subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table below applies.

Probationary Teachers (Years 2-4) & Tenured Teachers (Every 3 Years)

Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of observations and method of observation for each type listed as applicable to the second subgroup of teachers identified above.

	Minimum Number of Observations	Method of Observation Select all that apply
Announced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	1	☑ In person 6 ^{,752,94}
Unannounced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	1	
Announced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcentponent 2)	1	all the second s
Unannounced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcomponent 2)	0	☑ Not piβplicable
Announced Peer Observation (Optional)	N/A	G ^{β β^U} ☑ Not applicable
Unannounced Peer Observation (Optional)	N/A	☑ Not applicable

Subgroup 3

Please identify the third subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table below applies.

Tenured Teachers (Yearly)

Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of observations and method of observation for each type

listed as applicable to the third subgroup of teachers identified above.

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Subgroup 3

Page Last Modified: 02/27/2023

	Method of Observation Select all that apply
N/A	

Task 5. TEACHERS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on Educator Evaluation scoring, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the

ranges listed in the tables below.

	nt Performance ratings must be assigned based on the p	pint distribution below.	Teacher Observation HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.					
	Overall Student Perfo Category Score and			Overall Observat				
	Minimum			Minimum	Maximum			
н	18	20	н	3.5 to 3.75	4.00			
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74			
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74			
1	0	12	I	0.00	1.49 to 1.74			

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

		Teacher Observation Category									
		Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)						
Student Performance	Highly Effective (H)	Н	н	E	D						
Category	Effective (E)	Н	E	E	D						
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	1						
	Ineffective (I)	D	D	I	1						

Category and Overall Rating Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that each subcomponent and category score and rating and the Overall rating will be calculated pursuant to the requirements specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

- Assure that it is possible to obtain a zero in each subcomponent.
- Assure the overall rating determination for a teacher shall be determined according to the evaluation matrix.

Assure that a student will not be instructed, for two consecutive school years, by any two teachers of the same subject in the same

LEA, each of whom received an Ineffective rating under Education Law Section 3012-d in the year immediately prior to the school year in which the student is placed in the teacher's classroom unless the LEA has a Department-approved waiver from this requirement.

MASSENA CSD

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Appeals Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Assure that an appeal shall not be filed until a teacher's receipt of their overall rating.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review [evaluation]; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the Observation category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Please review your negotiated appeal process and use the table below to describe the appeal process available to teachers.

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

		1 1
Which groups of teachers may utilize the	Please select the ground(s) on which the teachers selected are	What is the
appeals process?	permitted to appeal their overall evaluation rating.	maximum length
Select all groups that have the same process as	Select all that apply.	of time for the
defined in subsequent columns.		teachers
To add additional groups with a different process,		selected to
use the "Add Row" button.		receive a final
		decision from
		the filing of the
		appeal?
	required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law Section	
	3012-d	
	☑ The adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and	
	compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures,	
	as required under Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart	
	30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	
	☑ The LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of	
	the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education	
	Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board	
	of Regents	

If "Other" was selected in the table above, please list the corresponding row number and group(s) of teachers that may utilize the appeals process.

Row Number	Groups of teachers not specified in the table above that may utlize the appeals process.
(No Response)	(No Response)

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 02/27/2023

Training Assurance

Please read the assurance below and check the box.

The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on, at a minimum, elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

- 1. The New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators
- 2. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research
- 3. Application and use of any methodology as part of an SLO and any optional second measures of student performance used by the LEA to evaluate its teachers
- 4. Application and use of the State-approved teacher rubric(s) selected by the LEA for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher's practice
- 5. Application and use of any assessment tools that the LEA utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers
- 6. Application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category used by the LEA to evaluate its teachers
- 7. Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System
- 8. The scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the LEA to evaluate a teacher under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix(es) prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's overall rating and their category ratings
- 9. Specific considerations in evaluating teachers of English language learners and students with disabilities

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

For a definition of terms used in this section, please see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Please answer the questions below to describe the training process for all evaluators.

Evaluator Training

Please identify the entity responsible for training and retraining evaluators.

Check all that apply.

BOCES (BOCES trains component district)

Please read the assurance below and check the box.

Assure that the duration of training and retraining is sufficient to train on all 9 elements from Section 30-3.10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents (which includes, but is not limited to, training on the proper application or use of the rubric).

Initial training

Do all evaluators receive the same initial training?

☑ Yes, all evaluators receive the same initial training.

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 02/27/2023

Approximately how many hours of initial training will new evaluators receive?

☑ 1-3 days

Retraining

Approximately how many hours of re-training (annual, periodic, or other frequency) will evaluators receive?

2-6 hours

Certification of Lead Evaluators

How often are lead evaluators certified?

☑ Other (please provide additional information below)

In the box below, please indicate how often lead evaluators are re-certified.

Every three years, the lead evaluators recieve training and certification through our local BOCES

Please identify the party responsible for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators.

Superintendent/District Superintendent

Please read the assurance below and check the box.

If the Superintendent/District Superintendent or other party is the entity certifying evaluators, and also acts in the capacity of an evaluator, please assure that the certification process, including such self-certification, is implemented with fidelity.

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Teacher Evaluation Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's evaluation, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.

Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.

Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional

subcomponent is selected.

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Required Student Performance Measures

The required student performance measure for a principal may be either a student learning objective (SLO) or an input model, where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal's building or program.

> Individually attributed results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> Collectively attributed results: scores and ratings for the selected principals will be based on the growth of students in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

> Collectively attributed group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

· State assessment(s); or

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- · third party assessments; or
- · locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES-, or regionally-developed).

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

INPUT MODEL

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Measure Type(s)

Please indicate below which type(s) of measures will be used to evaluate principals. Please check all that apply.

Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and/or input models.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth

parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved Educator Evaluation plan.

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Develo	oping	Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100	93- 96%		85- 89%	80- 84%	75- 79%	67- 74%	60- 66%	55- 59%	49- 54%	44- 48%	39- 43%	34- 38%	29- 33%	25- 28%	21- 24%	17- 20%	13- 16%	9- 12%	5-8%	0-4%
%																			1	

SLO Assurances

Z

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of the Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or - administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or Statedesigned supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;
- Option (G) An input model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 03/03/2023

Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

For the school visit category, principals' shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the school visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice rubric.

Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess principal practice based on ISLLC 2008 Standards (PSEL standards beginning in 2024-25).

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized,
	please indicate the group(s) of
	principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the same rubric(s) is (are) used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different programs or grade configurations as indicated in the table above.

Assure that the same rubric(s) is (are) used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

Rubric Rating Process

For more information on the Principal School Visit category see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

The following is one example of how an LEA might score principal school visits using the selected practice rubric: Domains 1-4 of the MPPR rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 2 and 3 are weighted as 40% each, and Domains 1 and 4 are weighted as 10% each. For each school visit, evidence is collected for all observed subcomponents in a domain. A holistic score is then determined for each domain. These domain scores are weighted as indicated above to reach a final score for each school visit. Scores for each school visit are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit type. The LEA will ensure that all subcomponents designated as observable will be addressed at least once across the school visit cycle.

Use the following section to describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department's regulations.

Passels gradblick places of the second state of the second state of the second second

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 03/03/2023

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that each set of school visits (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted school visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.

Assure that once all school visits are complete, the different types of school visits will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in the next section, producing an overall School Visit category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a principal earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Principal School Visit Scoring Bands

The overall School Visit score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

	Overall School Visit Category		



Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 03/03/2023

Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective

range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Principal School Visits

The principal school visit category is made up of two (2) required and one (1) optional subcomponents.

• The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.

School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by any of the required observers. Nothing shall be construed to limit

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

administrator (supervisor).

- Required Subcomponent 2: <u>At least one</u> school visit must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).
- Optional Subcomponent: If selected, <u>at least one</u> school visit must be conducted by a trained peer principal (peer principal).

Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of school visits for each type listed.

	Minimum Number of School Visits
Announced Supervisor School Visits (Required Subcomponent 1)	0
Unannounced Supervisor School Visits (Required Subcomponent 1)	1
Announced Independent Evaluator School Visits (Required Subcomponent 2)	1
Unannounced Independent Evaluator School Visits (Required Subcomponent 2)	0
Announced Peer School Visits (Optional)	N/A
Unannounced Peer School Visits (Optional)	N/A

Does the information in the table above apply to all principals?

☑ Yes, all principals receive the same number of school visits of each type.

Independent Evaluator Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s) they are evaluating.

Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Please also read the additional assurances below and check each box.

Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d Educator Evaluation plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Peer School Visit Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that peer principals, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.

Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating

of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on Educator Evaluation scoring, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall School Visit category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance C HEDI ratings must be as	ategory signed based on the poin	t distribution below.		School Visit Category	ocally-determined ranges
			consistent	with the constraints listed below	1.
	Overall Student F	Performance		Overall School Visit	
	Category Score a	and Rating		Category Score and Rat	ing
	Minimum	Maximum		Minimum	Maximum
н	18	20	н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	15	17	E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	13	14	D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
1	0	12	I	0.00	1.49 to 1.74

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

	Principal School Visit Category			
	Highly Effective (H)	Effective (E)	Developing (D)	Ineffective (I)
Student Performance				
Category				

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Principal Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;

- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this Educator Evaluation plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA. 20230117140022.pdf

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Appeals Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Assure that an appeal shall not be filed until a principal's receipt of their overall rating.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review [evaluation]; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Please review your negotiated appeal process and use the table below to describe the appeal process available to	
principals.	

Which groups of principals may utilize	Please select the ground(s) on which the	What is the maximum length of time for the
the appeals process?	principals selected are permitted to appeal	principals selected to receive a final
Select all groups that have the same	their overall evaluation rating.	decision from the filing of the appeal?
process as defined in subsequent columns.	Please select all that apply.	
To add additional groups with a different		
process, use the "Add Row" button.		
☑ All principals (Select this option ONLY if	The substance of the annual	☑ 1-3 months
ALL principals may appeal, including those	professional performance review	
ALL principals may appeal, including mose		
who received a "Highly Effective" or	[evaluation]; which shall include the	
"Effective" rating.)	following: in the instance of a principal	
	rated Ineffective on the Student	
	Performance category, but rated Highly	
	Effective on the School Visit category	
	based on an anomaly, as determined	
	locally	
	☑ The LEA's adherence to the standards	

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Which groups of principals may utilize	Please select the ground(s) on which the	What is the maximum length of time for the
the appeals process?	principals selected are permitted to appeal	principals selected to receive a final
Select all groups that have the same	their overall evaluation rating.	decision from the filing of the appeal?
process as defined in subsequent columns.	Please select all that apply.	
To add additional groups with a different		
process, use the "Add Row" button.		
	and methodologies required for such	
	reviews, pursuant to Education Law	
	Section 3012-d	
	 The adherence to the regulations of the 	
	Commissioner and compliance with any	
	applicable locally negotiated procedures,	
	as required under Education Law Section	
	3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of	
	the Board of Regents	
	☑ The LEA's issuance and/or	
	implementation of the terms of the principal	
	improvement plan, as required under	
	Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart	
	30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	

If "Other" was selected in the table above, please list the corresponding row number and group(s) of principals that may utilize the appeals process.

Row Number	Groups of principals not specified in the table above that may utilize the appeals process.
(No Response)	(No Response)

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 02/27/2023

Approximately how many hours of initial training will new evaluators receive?

☑ 1-3 days

Retraining

Approximately how many hours of re-training (annual, periodic, or other frequency) will evaluators receive?

2-6 hours

Certification of Lead Evaluators

How often are lead evaluators certified?

☑ Other (please provide additional information below)

In the box below, please indicate how often lead evaluators are re-certified.

Every three years the evaluator receives training and certification through the local BOCES.

Please identify the party responsible for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators.

Superintendent/District Superintendent

Please read the assurance below and check the box.

☑ If the Superintendent/District Superintendent or other party is the entity certifying evaluators, and also acts in the capacity of an evaluator, please assure that the certification process, including such self-certification, is implemented with fidelity.

Inter-rater Reliability

Inter-rater reliability refers to the extent to which different evaluators produce similar ratings in judging the same abilities or characteristics in the same target person or object. Within the context of educator evaluation, inter-rater reliability requires all evaluators trained in the school visit process to reach independent consensus on observable behaviors to ensure the accuracy, consistency, and precision of the implementation of the chosen evaluation rubric(s). It also requires administrators to analyze and track educator evaluation data and ensure that school visits are being completed with fidelity.

Select the option(s) below that best describe the process in place for maintaining inter-rater reliability. *Please check all that apply.*

Periodic calibration meetings and/or trainings

Task 12. Joint Certification of Educator Evaluation Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 03/07/2023

Upload Educator Evaluation LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Implementation of the Evaluation Plan

Please indicate below the first academic year to which this evaluation plan will be applicable.

☑ 2022-23

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the Educator Evaluation plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page. 20230306144038.pdf

Teacher Improvement Plan

Teacher	_	Administrator
	Cuhiant/Crada Laval	Score Breathdenreum
Min min () State successing of the	PROVIDES our rest. TITLES C. REALES	Samufunanta
Standards Standards Desc opment		
	Date:	Administrator & Signature
6.Sec.		Personalative
Dirita ^{ria}		าสังหาริสาร์ สาราชาติสาราชาติ สาราชาติสาราชาติสาราชาติสาราชาติสาราชาติสาราชาติสาราชาติสาราชาติสาราชาติสาราชาติสาราชาติสาราช

PRINCIPAL.

i.

NT LAN

Massena Central School District

Name of Principal Year School Deficiency that promulgated the "ineffective" or "developing" p e rating: Goal/Outcome: Action Steps/Activities: Timeline for completion: in a second in the interview of menonsibility for provision ĥ I and ž

1

1

,

<u>.</u>	
•	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ducator Exclustion alan.	
 1	
\vec{y} signing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s) certify that Commissioner for approval constitutes the school LEA's complete Educator Evaluation p subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Artic	plan, that all provisions of the plan that are
amplies with the requirements of Education Law \$2012 dies amended hut the Law	
\	
•	
L	
	~ A .—

Roard of Program and has been adopted by the governing body of the 154

visits;

Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the LEA shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to reference and entered and entered are labeled as the barrier in the scoring ranges. •

61

nЖ ‱^w ш

** H199000

))) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
" <u>s</u> j				
at if a second-measure for	the Student Derformer	oo ootaaani is laasiis.	eclashed them the ec-	na Laasiliy aalastad
Z				
			, <u> </u>	
			т,т,т,т,т,т,т,т,т,т,т,	
ع <u>ا</u>]			